



2021 edPioneer Consulting, LLC

This is solely considered a work of JillPavich.com edPioneer Consulting, LLC. The thoughts + ideas expressed in this resource are those of the author; the points expressed here are her own. The information shared here is for education + learning; the author is not responsible or nor does she guarantee any set of academic outcomes as a direct result of using this resource. For classroom use only.

By accessing/downloading this resource, you give Jill Pavich consent to contact you hereafter regarding matters directly related to its content. You also agree to the JillPavich.com Terms of Use.









STRATEGIES TO CONSIDER AFFIRMATIVE NEGATIVE

I WANT TO CHANGE THE PRESENT SYSTEM!!

To reasonably debate my opponent, i must:

PROVE THAT CHANGE IS NEEDED!!

- Uphold the burden of proof
 - Provide sufficient reasoning for adopting the proposition
 - Give sufficient evidence that current system is failing and the proposition will remedy this failure
- Overcome presumption
 - Overcome those who presume that the current system works well and doesn't need fixed
- Establish prima facie, or the minimal argument required to support the proposition without refutation
 - <u>Stock issues</u> that help establish Prima Facie:
 - There is harm
 - It is significant
 - It is inherent
 - Our plan will solve the harm.
 - There are advantages to plan.
- <u>Up-level your approach:</u>
 - ANTICIPATE possible/potential negative attacks against your plan and create answers to possible objections!

I WANT TO DEFEND THE PRESENT SYSTEM!!

To reasonably debate my opponent, I must:

PROVE CHANGE IS NECESSARY!!

- Challenge proof
 - Demonstrate that the Affirmative fails to meet burden of proof's:
 - existence
 OR
 - reliability
- Justify presumptions...
 - Current system in effect IS good enough--it should remain in effect
- Reverse prima facie via valid refutations; apply appropriate arguments/research/reasoning to whatever affirmative presents
 - Refute Stock Issues presented:
 - Harm may exist BUT...
 - It is minimal.
 - It is unrelated to present system.
 - Present system will solve it.
 - New plan will create disadvantages and additional harm.
- <u>Up-level your approach:</u>
 - ANTICIPATE WHY the affirmative believes the plan will improve current system and ANTICIPATE the type of plan they'll likely suggest!

What's Your Best Shot at Winning a Debate? Arrive <u>PREPARED</u> with LOTS OF PROOF/EVIDENE!! But more than anything, listen and be REASONABLE!